PATENT

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, thington, D.C. 20231, on

Ahington, D.C. 20231, on 7/27/98

Attorney Docket No. 02307O-068920

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re a	application of:)		
Gray et al.) Examiner: Ungar, S.) Art Unit: 1642		
Serial No.: 08/785,532)	RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION	
Filed: January 17, 1997) REQUIREMEN	TV %	
For:	GENES FROM THE 20q13 AMPLICON AND THEIR USES)) _)	AUG-5 AH	
			80	

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

The following is offered in response to the Office Action mailed May 27, 1998.

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

REMARKS

Applicants elect with traverse to prosecute the claims of Group I (claims 26-40 and 48-63), directed to methods of screening neoplastic cells using nucleic acid probes.

Applicants elect with traverse the species (B), sequences that hybridize to the claimed sequences.

Applicants further elect the species of sequences that hybridize to SEQ ID NO:9. As explained below in detail all of the above elections are made with traverse.

In the present case, applicants particularly traverse the restriction between Groups I and II. According to the MPEP, where claims can be examined together without undue burden, the Examiner *must* examine the claims on the merits even though they are directed to